

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	). FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/930,479	08/16/2001		Sridhar Gopalkrishnan	937-1359	9943	
23117	7590	03/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NIXON & V			SZEKELY,	SZEKELY, PETER A		
1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	N, VA 2	2201-4714	1714			

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/930,479	GOPALKRISHNAN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter Szekely	1714	120			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ad	dress			
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2004 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendme oppeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper re ent which places the appl	eply to a lication in			
PERIOD FOR	R REPLY [check either a) or b	)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing d						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY \ 706.07(f).	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	extension and the corresponding amou tened statutory period for reply origina	unt of the fee. The appropriate e lly set in the final Office action; o	extension fee under or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37)						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require f	further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below)				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tion in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected cla	ims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	rejection(s):		·			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely file	ed amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ reque application in condition for allowance because		en considered but does N	IOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed So	OLELY to issues which w	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendr explanation of how the new or amended claim			d and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ows:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b) disapprov	ved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State						

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/930,479 Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The concentration of the ethylene oxide in the polymer and the molecular weight of the propylene oxide have not been considered previously. The hard copy of the Pluronic surfactant grid is not in the case.